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#### Abstract

This article considers a case where a regulatory agency introduces a qualitative incentive into competition. Due to severe competition, telecom operators use charging schemes to increase revenues and introduce differentiated services to meet individual demands. This means that include many factors for differentiation. It is hard to explain or justify the pricing of that services differentiated only by price and quantity. For agency oversight, we use logit and probit model which can set a threshold of Bit/Data cap at standard deviation level and 5GB level derived from sample basic statistics. Basic statistics show that downlink speed under a data cap is about $24 \mathrm{Mbits} / \mathrm{s}$, and other variables show that operators tend to set lower than standard deviation level. This implies that customers using data cap plan receive lower quality of service. The estimation at 5 GB level suggests that setting the cap at 5 GB would be statistically more significant than the case of the cap at standard deviation level, and would be justified even for fixed line data. This implies that the cap of 5GB in the mobile market seems to be calculated, justified, and set by the operators. The irrationality of this implication is that most operators set the same amount of the cap, even though the capacity, density, and quality of their networks are different. A 5GB cap could be justified as long as their services are offered by bundling. This article concludes that the agency could determine to what extent the threshold of the cap seems appropriate. Estimation in this article suggest that the Price-per-Mbit/s price could be an effective indicator and regulatory instrument of bit/data cap because this variable has statistically significant sensitivity.


Key words: Telecommunications, Regulations, Bit/Data Cap, Incentive
JEL Classification: L43, L86, L96

## EMPIRICAL CONSIDERATION OF THE EFFECTS OF BIT/DATA CAP ON TELECOMMUNICATIONS OPERATORS

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Innovation in telecommunications encourages competitive markets, and contributes to a decline in overall revenue 5.1 percent. The margin of the early 2000's economic bubble plays a role of buffer even in the face of the recent economic crisis in the telecommunications industry ${ }^{1}$. A bit/data cap is a conventional way to limit data use and avoid data traffic congestion. A decision on the amount of data is an arbitrary managerial decision. In virtue of competition, business practices are rarely discussed in the name of freedom of management under competition. This article focuses on the effect of the practice.

This article considers the effects of a practice implemented worldwide in the telecommunications industry. The practice sets a "cap", a quantitative limit on data use, and charges additionally when customers use more than the limit set by operators.

This article examines the validity of the cap through analysis of empirical data of fixed telephone services under the models we discuss here, and proposes a bridge over the problem in mobile services. The practice of the cap has been implemented over the years in fixed line telecom services. Therefore, models in this article examine factors that determine the cap. This model considers not only the effect of each factor, but also policy issues so that this practice can be supervised by the agency. In short, how a cap can be set and rationalized is examined.

Recently, telecom operators have implemented or attempted to implement bit/data caps on telecom data services. The practices are implemented in a process covering enough network capacity or geographical area to provide data services. A concern we point out here is one when all operators implement the practice uniformly. We also point out that a certain degree of uniform practice could be rational even though the practice tends to be justified in virtue of freedom of business management.

In section 2, we review the background of some aspects, especially the methodological background. Section 3 examines empirical data and considers basic statistics. Section 4 explores models. Section 5 estimates them to describe characteristics of the practices, and proposes a direction to meet the goal. Section 6 concludes the article and points out some future extensions.

[^0]
## 2. BACKGROUND

To include qualitative aspects in competition, an incentive should have objective(s) and criteria (parameters) to be set. In view of economics,
"(Q)ualitative policy refers, in our terminology, to changing details of social organization rather than foundations... Changes in social organization, even if they refer to less important aspects only, will, as a rule, be less frequent than quantitative changes in the value of existing instruments of economic policy" (Tinbergen, 1964).

In the quantitative world, i.e. in neoclassical economics, discussions are not simply a matter of equality between price and marginal cost in virtue of efficiency. In the telecommunications market, rate-of-return regulation may cause an asymmetric information problem between agency and monopoly operator, though it contributes to minimize total cost. Therefore overcapitalization may occur in order to earn a return on capital and discourage entry by competition (Averch-Johnson, 1962).

To deal with this, a regulatory agency sets a limit on the revenue they earn. A regulation to set such a limit is price cap regulation. The major problem of price cap regulation is the time of the initial setting of the cap. This means that price cap regulation also has an asymmetric information problem where the operator overstates its costs, and it is hard for the agency to track. The price cap itself is derived from an index, CPI. Change in individual behavior has only a small influence on the price cap. Some theoretical discussions consider it quantitatively (Armstrong and Sappington, 2007, Cabral and Riordan, 1989, and Clemenz, 1991).

As historical background, the telecom industry experienced privatization from being a nationalized monopoly to market competition in the mid-1980s. The industry faced a high cost structure which market power reduced. The case in UK was examined their experiences and price cap regulation (Beesley, 1997).

Through these experiences, the telecommunications market introduced competition and become more competitive, and now faces severe competitive circumstances. Due to severe competition, telecom operators devised charging schemes to increase revenues. Furthermore, they introduced differentiated services to meet individual demands. This means that services comprise many factors enabling differentiation. It is hard to explain or justify the pricing of differentiated services by considering only price and quantity. This is why it is necessary to also take quality into consideration. To evaluate these complicated services in broader terms, we need to have a certain standard. This article considers possible options. Therefore, the objective of the agency is to assess competitive circumstances in a qualitatively highly differentiated
market. The following background shows that the standard we expected is statistically testable using empirical data.

The background for the methodology of this article is based heavily on an article (Bouissou, Laffont, and Vuong, BLV, 1986), which considers log-likelihood ratio test for noncausality; noncausality is the abstract or theoretical concept of stochastic process of quality. The definition of noncausality by BLV is as follows,
"(I)f X, Y are two stochastic processes, then Y does not cause $X$ at any instant."

In this definition, X involves an infinite number of random variables, so conditions need to be set to reduce independence properties of a finite set of variables. BLV defined the probability distribution such that Y does not cause X if qualitative data are available. The two stochastic processes in BLV are set in time, past and future, but this article sets it in relation to a certain threshold of the variable we discuss, under or over a bit/data cap. As BLV set the value of X as null when the time is before a certain defined period of time, we set the value of the cap as null when the cap was over a certain defined threshold. The probability model estimates a stationary process, which identifies the independent restriction, the threshold, to be defined. Details of the model are discussed in section 4.

## 3. THE DATA

To obtain qualitative data, empirical data in this article are based on OECD data which include detailed data on the cap and periodic data as a time series in OECD Communications Outlook. To discuss the characteristics and effects of the cap per se, this article focuses on a static model and data. Modification of X on theoretical assumptions contributes to adjust to static consideration, which would also work as a benchmark to capture the status quo of the market and its validity.

The empirical data cover the most recent data available on the amount of the bit/data cap, downlink and uplink access speed, and minutes to the cap, monthly payments (USDPPP), and price per Mbits/s (USDPPP) ${ }^{2}$. These variables are almost all available from the data source related to the cap. The data used cover all service plans offered in OECD member countries that have a bit/data cap.

This article shows possible empirical contributions to the Incentive using observed data. If competition needs the bit/data cap, the scheme or model should be simple and easy to capture

[^1]without observing and listing lots of data and variables. To achieve this goal, assumptions and settings should be carefully defined. These normative considerations are referred to in the next section.

Table 1 shows correlations of the variables in the data. Some relationships indicate relatively high numbers as shown; between downlink speed and Monthly payment, between downlink and the cap, and between monthly payment and the cap. This suggests that the bit/data cap practice tends to affect downlink speed and monthly payments.

Table 1: Correlation of Variables

| (obs=168) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Downkb~s | Upkbits Minute~p | USDpri~P PMbits~P BitcapMB |  |  |  |
| Downkbits | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Upkbits | 0.1925 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |  |
| Minutestor~p | -0.2660 | -0.1388 | 1.0000 |  |  |  |
| USDpricemo~P | 0.4648 | -0.0273 | -0.0441 | 1.0000 |  |  |
| PMbitsUSDPPP | -0.3978 | -0.1767 | 0.3531 | -0.0287 | 1.0000 |  |
| BitcapMB | 0.4995 | 0.0187 | 0.2935 | 0.5649 | -0.2042 | 1.0000 |

Source: OECD Communications Outlook 2011 \& OECD Broadband Statistics Sep., 2010

Table 2 shows sample statistics of the data used in this article. The statistics show that downlink speed under data cap is about $24 \mathrm{Mbits} / \mathrm{s}$, and other variables show that operators in the status quo tend to set lower than standard deviation level. This indicates that customers in status quo using data cap plan use lower level in quality of service. This result shows the need to improve the circumstances of customers using bit/data cap plan.

Table 2: Sample Statistics

| Variable | Obs | Mean | Std. Dev. | Min | Max |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Downkbits | 185 | 23345.14 | 24617.64 | 512 | 102400 |
| Upkbits | 170 | 5442.637 | 10934.23 | 128 | 50000 |
| Minutestor~p | 185 | 875.689 | 1624.719 | 8.888889 | 9333.333 |
| USDpricemo~P | 181 | 48.59519 | 22.64153 | 0 | 145.8096 |
| PMbitsUSDPPP | 181 | 7.183355 | 11.99387 | 0 | 66.36744 |
| BitcapMB | 185 | 73194.59 | 89970.25 | 1000 | 500000 |

Source: OECD Communications Outlook 2011 \& OECD Broadband Statistics Sep., 2010

## 4. THE MODEL

### 4.1. Normative Assumptions and Settings as an Incentive

Based on the theoretical background in section 2, for empirical analyses, not only the data used but also the assumptions and settings are important to describe how we define the characteristics of the cap and market in question.

Actual management in the market is not adjustable in real time. This means that management has "slackness". Discussions of this kind of slackness were treated as an efficiency measure (Selten, 1986, Abel-khalik, 1988, and Berg and Jeong, 1991). These arguments consider the effectiveness of slackness using probit model (Berg and Jeong, 1991), and for setting the target we discuss a threshold (Abel-khalik, 1988).

Implementing a concept of differentiation in empirical analysis suggests that an efficient upstream differentiates downstream, and decisions may differ in preferences (Villas-Boas, 2009). Furthermore, we implement a model setting the mean value as the threshold (Berry, Levinsohn, and Pakes, 1995). Unlike that article, this article introduces the value of the standard deviation, or one derived from basic statistics, as the threshold.

### 4.2. The Simple OLS Model

To describe bit/data cap in practice, we build a model of how charges and speeds affect the amount of the cap. We consider a simple mechanism to build a regulatory tool to capture the characteristics of the practice, and obtain better network services, that do not restrict operators' behaviors. The simple OLS model is as follows;

$$
D C_{i}=\alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} D L_{i}+\alpha_{2} U P_{i}+\alpha_{3} M I N_{i}+\alpha_{4} \text { MON }_{i}+\alpha_{5} \text { PBIT }_{i}+u
$$

where

DC: Data Cap (MB)
DL: Downlink Speed (kbits/s)
UP: Uplink Speed (kbits/s)
MIN: Minutes to reach the bit/data cap (min.)
MON: Monthly payment (USDPPP)
PBIT: Price per Mbit/s (USDPPP).

### 4.3. The Logit and Probit Model

To consider the cap and its incentive, a certain amount of the cap would be determined. In statistical view, we consider the case where the agency sets the amount of the cap level at the standard deviation level, STDDEV, obtained from statistical data.

Following the theoretical background in section 2, we set DC as a latent variable and assume $\mathrm{DC}^{*}=1$ if DC becomes more than the standard deviation level, and $\mathrm{DC}^{*}=0$ if DC is less than the level, shown as follows;

$$
\begin{gathered}
D C>S T D D E V \Leftrightarrow \alpha_{0}+\alpha_{1} D L+\alpha_{2} U P+\alpha_{3} M I N+\alpha_{4} M O N+\alpha_{5} P B I T+u>0 \\
\Leftrightarrow u>-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} D L_{i}-\alpha_{2} U P-\alpha_{3} M I N_{i}-\alpha_{4} M O N_{i}-\alpha_{5} \text { PBIT }_{i} .
\end{gathered}
$$

To obtain $\mathrm{DC}^{*}=1$, we assume its probability, $\mathrm{P}\left(\mathrm{DC}^{*}=1\right)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P\left(D C^{*}=1\right)=P(D C>S T D D E V)=P\left(u>-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} D L-\alpha_{2} U P-\alpha_{3} M I N-\alpha_{4} M O N-\alpha_{5} P B I T\right) \\
& =1-F\left(-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} D L-\alpha_{2} U P-\alpha_{3} M I N-\alpha_{4} M O N-\alpha_{5} P B I T\right) \\
& \begin{aligned}
P\left(D C^{*}=0\right)= & P(D C \leq S T D D E V)=P\left(u \leq-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} D L-\alpha_{2} U P-\alpha_{3} M I N-\alpha_{4} M O N-\alpha_{5} P B I T\right) \\
& =F\left(-\alpha_{0}-\alpha_{1} D L-\alpha_{2} U P-\alpha_{3} M I N-\alpha_{4} M O N-\alpha_{5} P B I T\right)
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{F}(\cdot)$ is the cumulative distribution function. So 5 GB can be set instead of STDDEV in the model.

## 5. THE RESULTS AND ESTIMATION

The result and estimation of the simple OLS model are shown in table 3 . The model shows a certain fit, the rate of adjusted R-squared being 0.5843 , and some interesting results.

Coefficients of monthly payment and per Mbits/s price show that the relations between the cap and each of the 2 parts of the charges have the same proportion but opposite direction. This means that charging higher monthly payments tends to set about 1.6 GB higher when every USDPPP of the monthly payment increases, in case of charging higher Mbit/s price, and vice versa (if set about 1.6 GB lower, every USDPPP increases). The variable MIN shows that the cap increases about 25 MB of when it takes one minute more.

Tables 4-1 and 4-2 show the estimation using logit and probit model. As assumptions and settings shown in section 4, we describe the cap when the agency defines the amount of the cap, and examine its validity statistically. As shown in the previous section, we set one of the
thresholds at the level of the standard deviation. Ordinary estimation in logit model sets zero as the threshold of DC in normalization. This methodology is referred to in section 2 above.

All variables observable in the model except uplink speed are statistically significant as this model is following statistical procedures. In the logit model, we obtain better significance and different characteristics than we expect intuitively.

Table 3: Estimation of the Simple OLS Model


Table 4-1: Estimation of the Logit Model under the Cap at Standard Deviation Level



Table 4-2: Estimation of the Probit Model under the Cap at STD Dev. Level

| Probit regression |  |  |  | Number of obs LR chi2 (5) <br> Prob > chi2 <br> Pseudo R2 |  |  | 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 156.02 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.0000 |
| Log likelihood $=-25.934349$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0.7505 |
| dum_cap | Coef. | Std. Err. | z | $P>\|z\|$ | [95\% Conf. Interval] |  |  |
| Downkbits | . 0000221 | $9.18 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 2.40 | 0.016 | $4.06 \mathrm{e}-06$ |  | . 0000401 |
| Upkbits | . 0000257 | . 0000132 | 1.95 | 0.051 | -1.31e-07 |  | . 0000515 |
| Minutestore~p | . 0055607 | . 001169 | 4.76 | 0.000 | . 0032695 |  | . 0078518 |
| USDpricemon~P | . 0572013 | . 0151497 | 3.78 | 0.000 | . 0275085 |  | . 0868941 |
| PMbitsUSDPPP | -. 6222723 | . 1414881 | -4.40 | 0.000 | -. 8995839 |  | -. 3449607 |
| _cons | -5.661604 | 1.202083 | -4.71 | 0.000 | -8.017644 |  | -3.305565 |


| Average marginal effects |  |  |  | Numbe | f obs | 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Expression : Pr(dum_cap), predict() dy/dx w.r.t. : Downkbits Upkbits Minutestoreachbitcap USDpricemonthlyPPP PMbitsUSDPPP``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delta-method $\mathrm{dy/dx} \begin{gathered}\text { Std. Err. } \\ \text { Sta } \\ \text { [95\% Conf. Interval] }\end{gathered}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Downkbits | $1.84 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $6.70 \mathrm{e}-07$ | 2.74 | 0.006 | 5.23 | $3.15 e-06$ |
| Upkbits | $2.13 e-06$ | $9.97 e-07$ | 2.14 | 0.032 | 1.81 | $4.09 \mathrm{e}-06$ |
| Minutestore~p | . 0004626 | . 0000457 | 10.12 | 0.000 | . 000 | . 0005523 |
| USDpricemon~P | . 004759 | . 0008864 | 5.37 | 0.000 | . 0030 | . 0064963 |
| PMbitsUSDPPP | -. 0517712 | . 0070007 | -7.40 | 0.000 | -. 065 | -. 0380502 |

Taking a recent trend in the mobile data market into consideration, some mobile operators implement a 5GB cap to limit explosive demand for data use, and avoid congestion of their network. Recent lively demand for mobile data use is not a phenomenon that arose suddenly. Operators have emerged to bundle their services in the name of fixed and mobile convergence. This business strategy benefits both operators and customers, but operators underestimate the demand for data use. Customers take the bundled service as a seamless service and expect seamless access without limits on the place of access. Lower charges on each service caused by bundling seems to give an excuse for lower quality of each service. It seems this bundling trend makes this article relevant even for data on fixed line services.

As shown in the tables, the marginal effect of the PBIT shows that this variable fee causes about $2.5 \%$ or $5.2 \%$ decline of the probability of setting the cap at each level. The estimation at 5GB level shown in table 5-1 and 5-2 suggests that setting the cap at 5 GB would be statistically more significant than the case of the cap at standard deviation level, and be justified even under fixed line data. This implies that the cap of 5 GB in mobile market seems to be calculated, justified, and set by the operators. The irrationality of this implication is that most operators set the same amount of the cap even though the capacity, density, and quality of their networks are different. A 5GB cap could be justified as long as their services are offered by bundling, and the PBIT would have the same level and conditions as those of fixed line services.

Table 5-1: Estimation of the Logit Model under the Cap at 5GB Level



Table 5-2: Estimation of the Probit Model under the Cap at 5GB Level


Note: 11 failures and 121 successes completely determined.

| Average marginal effects |  |  |  | Number of obs |  | 168 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model VCE : |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```Expression : Pr(dum_cap5GB), predict() dy/dx w.r.t. : Downkbits Upkbits Minutestoreachbitcap USDpricemonthlyPPP PMbitsUSDPPP``` |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Delta-method |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Downkbits | $-5.72 e-07$ | $1.14 \mathrm{e}-06$ | -0.50 | 0.614 | -2.80 | $1.65 e-06$ |
| Upkbits | $3.94 \mathrm{e}-06$ | $3.70 \mathrm{e}-06$ | 1.07 | 0.287 | -3.31 | . 0000112 |
| Minutestore~p | . 0009546 | . 0003252 | 2.94 | 0.003 | . 00031 | . 001592 |
| USDpricemon~P | . 0048906 | . 0020712 | 2.36 | 0.018 | . 0008 | . 00895 |
| PMbitsUSDPPP | -. 0245082 | . 0079078 | -3.10 | 0.002 | -. 0400 | -. 0090092 |

## 6. CONCLUSION

This article considers a case where a regulatory agency takes a qualitative incentive into consideration for competition. By way of oversight, a regulatory agency could use logit and probit model which could set a threshold of Bit/Data cap at the standard deviation level and 5GB level derived from sample basic statistics.

Unlike earlier studies, we apply logit and probit models to the telecommunications market, and modify its setting of a stochastic process to a 5 GB threshold set by statistical result, standard deviation, and practical market observation. To do this, we organize empirical data available worldwide and consider qualitative factors. This article substitutes the cap-setting process taking qualitative factors into consideration for the neoclassical price setting process in a view of quantitative adjustment. With this view, we use empirical methodology to justify thresholds actually set by operators. The result and estimation of this article would contribute not only to capturing relationships in the market with the cap we actually face, but would also give the regulatory agency a regulatory instrument to benchmark. The contribution also shows that this analysis gives some reasons for operators to rationalize thresholds they actually set.

Through our estimation, threshold settings of monthly and per Mbit/s are more likely to be set by the capacity that could be accessed without congestion or other concerns on quality of service, as one of the factors "minutes to the limit" represents a certain usability of the service. Estimation of section 5 suggests that the Price-per-Mbit/s could be an effective indicator of bit/data cap, because this variable is statistically significant.

In this analysis, we tried to implement an interaction term, but we could not get statistically significant results in our logit and probit model. We should point out that the empirical data on the cap used are for fixed line services, so the result and estimation could be difficult to explain the mobile market. However applying our contributions to the mobile market, we found some rationality in the threshold settings of the market. In other words, the cap could be justified as long as services are offered by bundling; we could think the mobile market is similar to a state of fixed line service. Considering trends in the mobile market, we need to collect detailed data worldwide on mobile data usage. Future analysis should build a more persuasive model as a regulatory instrument. Further analysis needs to consider more detail on market circumstances in a single country.

We should also make deeper observation on the existence of slackness in the threshold, i.e. when operators offer a lower level of threshold than the optimal level at a given price as we discussed here. Furthermore, not only implementing a concept of differentiation in empirical
analysis, but use of empirical data of the mobile market is necessary for deeper understanding of telecommunications industry.

## Appendix

| Country | Company | Plan | Downkbits | Upkbits | BitcapMB | Minutestorea chbitcap | USDprice monthlyP PP | PMbitsUS DPPP | dum_cap | $\begin{aligned} & \text { dum_cap5 } \\ & \text { GB } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Elite 2GB | 30720 | 1000 | 2000 | 8.8888889 | 32.68979 | 1.63449 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Elite 2GB | 20480 | 1000 | 2000 | 13.333333 | 32.68979 | 1.08966 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Turbo 2GB | 8192 | 256 | 2000 | 33.333333 | 26.14529 | 3.268161 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Turbo 2GB | 1536 | 128 | 2000 | 177.77778 | 26.14529 | 17.43019 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-512-Starter | 512 | 128 | 5000 | 1333.3333 | 26.14529 | 52.29058 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedExtreme-10 | 24576 | 1000 | 10000 | 55.555556 | 32.68979 | 1.362075 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-UltraBundle-10 | 20480 | 820 | 10000 | 66.666667 | 39.20157 | 1.960079 | 0 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedUltra-10 | 20480 | 820 | 10000 | 66.666667 | 32.68979 | 1.63449 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreHigh-15 | 102400 | 2000 | 15000 | 20 | 52.3233 | 0.523233 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreMid-15 | 51200 | 8000 | 15000 | 40 | 39.23429 | 0.784686 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreEntry-15 (standard plan) | 25600 | 4000 | 15000 | 80 | 32.68979 | 1.307592 | 0 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Fast-25 | 24576 | 1000 | 25000 | 138.88889 | 65.37958 | 2.724149 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Standard-25 | 1536 | 256 | 25000 | 2222.2222 | 52.29058 | 34.86038 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreHigh-30 | 102400 |  | 30000 | 40 | 58.8678 | 0.588678 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreMid-30 | 51200 | 1000 | 30000 | 80 | 45.7788 | 0.915576 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreEntry-30 | 25600 | 2000 | 30000 | 160 | 39.23429 | 1.569372 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Extreme-30 | 24576 | 8000 | 30000 | 166.66667 | 45.74607 | 1.906086 | 0 |  |  |
| Australia | Optus | 30GB Broadband + Home Phone | 20480 | 4000 | 30000 | 200 | 45.74607 | 2.287304 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Turbo 50GB | 30720 | 1000 | 50000 | 222.22222 | 52.3233 | 1.74411 | 0 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Easy Broadband | 24576 | 1000 | 50000 | 277.77778 | 52.29058 | 2.178774 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Fast-50 | 24576 | 1000 | 50000 | 277.77778 | 85.01309 | 3.542212 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Turbo 50GB | 20480 | 1000 | 50000 | 333.33333 | 85.01309 | 3.542212 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Standard-50 | 1536 | 256 | 50000 | 4444.4444 | 58.83508 | 39.22339 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreHigh-60 | 102400 | 2000 | 60000 | 80 | 65.4123 | 0.654123 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreMid-60 | 51200 | 8000 | 60000 | 160 | 52.3233 | 1.046466 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreEntry-60 | 25600 | 4000 | 60000 | 320 | 45.7788 | 1.831152 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedExtreme-60 | 24576 | 1000 | 60000 | 333.33333 | 45.7788 | 1.90745 | 0 | 1 | , |
| Australia | Internode | Home-UltraBundle-60 | 20480 | 820 | 60000 | 400 | 52.29058 | 2.614529 | 0 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedUltra-60 | 20480 | 820 | 60000 | 400 | 45.7788 | 2.28894 | 0 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreHigh-100 | 102400 | 1000 | 100000 | 133.33333 | 78.50131 | 0.785013 | 1 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreMid-100 | 51200 | 2000 | 100000 | 266.66667 | 65.4123 | 1.308246 | - 1 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreEntry-100 | 25600 | 8000 | 100000 | 533.33333 | 58.8678 | 2.354712 | - 1 | - 1 | , |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedExtreme-100 | 24576 | 4000 | 100000 | 555.55556 | 58.8678 | 2.452825 | - 1 | - 1 | , |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Fast-100 | 24576 | 1000 | 100000 | 555.55556 | 111.1911 | 4.632963 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-UltraBundle-60 | 20480 | 820 | 100000 | 666.66667 | 65.37958 | 3.268979 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedUltra-100 | 20480 | 256 | 100000 | 666.66667 | 58.8678 | 2.94339 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Standard-100 | 1536 | 820 | 100000 | 8888.8889 | 85.01309 | 56.67539 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Optus | Naked (Standalone) Broadband 14 GB | 20480 |  | 120000 | 800 | 39.26047 | 1.963024 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Optus | Naked (Standalone) Broadband 30 GB | 20480 |  | 150000 | 1000 | 45.80497 | 2.290249 | - 1 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Optus | Naked (Standalone) Broadband 60 GB | 20480 |  | 170000 | 1133.3333 | 52.34948 | 2.617474 | - 1 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-Fibrehigh-200 | 102400 | 1000 | 200000 | 266.66667 | 104.6793 | 1.046793 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreMid-200 | 51200 | 1000 | 200000 | 533.33333 | 87.00916 | 1.740183 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Elite 200GB | 30720 | 2000 | 200000 | 888.88889 | 65.4123 | 3.270615 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-FibreEntry-200 | 25600 | 8000 | 200000 | 1066.6667 | 85.04581 | 3.401833 | - 1 | - 1 |  |
| Australia | Bigpond/Telstra | BigPond Elite 200GB | 20480 | 4000 | 200000 | 1333.3333 | 65.4123 | 3.270615 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Australia | Internode | Home-NakedExtreme-240 | 24576 | 1000 | 240000 | 1333.3333 | 71.95681 | 2.9982 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Belgium | Base | home internet 1 | 1024 | 256 | 1000 | 133.33333 | 27.68549 | 27.68549 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Belgium | Telenet | BasicNet | 4096 | 400 | 15000 | 500 | 20.93023 | 5.232558 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Belgium | Belgacom | Internet Start | 3072 | 2115.6 | 15000 | 666.66667 | 35.09136 | 11.69712 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Belgium | Telenet | ComfortNet | 15360 | 1000 | 50000 | 444.44444 | 33.93134 | 2.262089 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Belgium | Belgacom | Internet Comfort | 12288 | 1500 | 50000 | 555.55556 | 31.95367 | 2.662806 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Belgium | Telenet | ExpressNet | 30720 | 1250 | 80000 | 355.55556 | 47.51938 | 1.583979 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Belgium | Belgacom | Internet Favorite | 25600 | 3500 | 100000 | 533.33333 | 41.07143 | 1.642857 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Essential Plus | 2048 | 800 | 2000 | 133.33333 | 30.70952 | 15.35476 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Canada | Rogers | Ultra-lite | 512 | 256 | 2000 | 533.33333 | 28.49365 | 56.98731 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Canada | Shaw | High-speed lite | 1024 | 256 | 13000 | 1733.3333 | 28.46705 | 28.46705 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Rogers | Lite | 3072 | 256 | 15000 | 666.66667 | 35.84923 | 11.94974 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Performance | 6144 | 1000 | 25000 | 555.55556 | 39.90399 | 6.650665 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Fibe 12 | 12288 | 1000 | 50000 | 555.55556 | 46.79984 | 3.899986 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Fibe $12+$ option 7Mbps upload | 12288 | 7000 | 50000 | 555.55556 | 51.39707 | 4.283089 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Rogers | Express | 10240 | 512 | 60000 | 800 | 45.96314 | 4.596314 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Fibe25 | 25600 | 1000 | 75000 | 400 | 55.9943 | 3.499644 | 0 | 1 | 1 |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Fibe 16 | 16384 | 7000 | 75000 | 625 | 60.59154 | 3.786971 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Bell Canada | Fibe 16 + option 7Mbps upload | 16384 | 7000 | 75000 | 625 | 64.26933 | 2.570773 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Shaw | High-speed | 7680 | 512 | 75000 | 1333.3333 | 39.7502 | 5.300027 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Rogers | Extreme | 15360 | 1000 | 80000 | 711.11111 | 61.59374 | 4.106249 | 0 | 1 | , |
| Canada | Rogers | Extreme Plus | 25600 | 1000 | 125000 | 666.66667 | 70.7882 | 2.831528 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Shaw | High-Speed Extreme | 15360 | 1000 | 125000 | 1111.1111 | 48.8633 | 3.257554 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Rogers | Ultimate | 51200 | 2000 | 175000 | 466.66667 | 98.3716 | 1.967432 | - 1 | - 1 |  |
| Canada | Shaw | Warp | 51200 | 3000 | 250000 | 666.66667 | 97.51017 | 1.950203 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Canada | Shaw | Nitro | 102400 | 5000 | 500000 | 666.66667 | 145.8096 | 1.458096 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Kezdo (DSL Kezdo) | 5120 | 2500 | 1000 | 26.666667 | 28.43697 | 5.687393 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Kezdo (Kabelnet Kezdo) | 5120 | 21838 | 1000 | 26.666667 | 28.43697 | 5.687393 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Kezdo (Optinet Kezdo) | 5120 | 2918.703 | 1000 | 26.666667 | 28.43697 | 5.687393 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Maximum (Kabelnet Maximum) | 81920 | 500 | 350000 | 583.33333 | 72.49864 | 0.906233 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Super (Kabelnet Super) | 51200 | 5000 | 350000 | 933.33333 | 66.24876 | 1.324975 | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Extra (Kabelnet Extra) | 25600 | 5000 | 350000 | 1866.6667 |  |  | - 1 | 1 |  |
| Hungary | T-Home | Csaladi (Kabelnet Csaladi) | 15360 | 400 | 350000 | 3111.1111 |  |  | 1 |  | * |
| Hungary | T-Home | Alap (Kabelnet Alap) | 5120 | 400 | 350000 | 9333.3333 | 34.99934 | 6.999869 | 1 |  | * |
| Iceland | Siminn | Grunnaskrift | 12288 | 12000 | 1000 | 11.111111 | 26.19063 | 2.182553 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Huggulega 1GB | 12288 | 12000 | 1000 | 11.111111 | 26.19063 | 2.182553 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 1GB | 12288 | 12000 | 1000 | 11.111111 | 26.19063 | 2.182553 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Huggulega netid - meiri hradi | 51200 |  | 10000 | 26.666667 |  |  | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | TAL | FTTH 10GB net | 51200 |  | 10000 | 26.666667 |  |  | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Siminn | Leid 1 | 12288 | 12000 | 10000 | 111.11111 | 21.51373 | 0.430275 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Huggulega 10GB | 12288 | 12000 | 10000 | 111.11111 | 21.51373 | 0.430275 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 10GB | 12288 | 12000 | 10000 | 111.11111 | 21.51373 | 0.430275 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 20GB | 12288 | 12000 | 20000 | 222.22222 | 37.703 | 3.141916 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Flotta netid - meiri hradi | 51200 | 50000 | 30000 | 80 | 28.70896 | 0.574179 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | TAL | FTTH 30GB net | 51200 | 50000 | 30000 | 80 | 28.70896 | 0.574179 | 0 |  | * |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Flotta netid | 12288 | 50000 | 30000 | 333.33333 | 43.45918 | 3.621598 | 0 |  | * |


| Iceland | TAL | FTTH 60GB net | 51200 | 820 | 60000 | 160 | 33.0261 | 0.660522 | 0 | 1* |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Iceland | Siminn | Leid 2 | 12288 | 12000 | 60000 | 666.6667 | 58.0511 | 4.837592 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 60GB | 12288 | 12000 | 60000 | 666.6667 | 44.89822 | 3.741519 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Ofurnetid - meiri hradi | 51200 | 50000 | 70000 | 186.6667 | 57.13011 | 1.142602 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Ofurnetid 70GB | 12288 | 50000 | 70000 | 777.7778 | 50.65441 | 4.221201 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | TAL | FTTH 80GB net | 51200 | 50000 | 80000 | 213.3333 | 40.22133 | 0.804427 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 80GB | 12288 | 12000 | 80000 | 888.8889 | 52.09345 | 4.341121 | 0 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Enn meira nidurhal | 51200 | 50000 | 120000 | 320 | 59.28868 | 4.940723 | 1 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | TAL | FTTH 120GB net | 51200 | 50000 | 120000 | 320 | 59.28868 | 4.940723 | 1 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | Siminn | Leid 3 | 16384 | 1024 | 120000 | 1000 | 66.68537 | 4.167836 | 1 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | Vodafone | Enn meira nidurhal | 12288 | 12000 | 120000 | 1333.333 | 59.28868 | 4.940723 | 1 | 1* |  |
| Iceland | TAL | DSL 120GB | 12288 | 12000 | 120000 | 1333.333 | 59.28868 | 4.940723 | 1 | 1* |  |
| Ireland | Eircom | Up to 1 Mb home broadband | 1024 | 1000 | 10000 | 1333.333 | 39.7446 | 39.7446 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Irish Broadband | Imagine up to 1Mb | 1024 | 1000 | 10000 | 1333.333 | 43.32269 | 43.32269 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Irish Broadband | Imagine up to 3Mb | 3072 | 3000 | 20000 | 888.8889 | 49.56778 | 16.52259 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Irish Broadband | Imagine up to 7Mb | 7782.4 | 7600 | 30000 | 526.3158 | 59.39096 | 7.8146 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Eircom | Up to 3Mb home broadband | 3072 | 3000 | 30000 | 1333.333 | 48.23428 | 16.07809 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Eircom | Up to 7Mb home broadband | 7168 | 7000 | 50000 | 952.381 | 57.15373 | 8.164819 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | Eircom | Up to 24Mb home broadband | 24576 | 24000 | 75000 | 416.6667 | 65.91601 | 2.746501 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | UPC Ireland | 30 Mb Broadband Ultra | 30720 | 15000 | 120000 | 533.3333 | 39.04715 | 2.603143 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | UPC Ireland | 15Mb Broadband Express | 15360 | 30000 | 120000 | 1066.667 | 48.87033 | 1.629011 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Ireland | UPC Ireland | 8 Mb Broadband Value | 8192 | 8000 | 120000 | 2000 | 32.17092 | 4.021365 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Luxembourg | EPT | LuxDSL Junior | 5120 | 512 | 2000 | 53.33333 | 53.4606 | 10.69212 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Luxembourg | Numericable | Internet 3 Mega | 3072 | 256 | 3000 | 133.3333 | 33.3682 | 11.12273 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Luxembourg | EPT | LuxDSL Run | 10240 | 640 | 15000 | 200 | 72.28905 | 7.228905 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Telecom | Go | 24576 |  | 3000 | 16.66667 | 55.62613 | 2.317756 | 0 | 0 |  |
| New Zealand | Vodafone | Easy Pack | 24576 | 1000 | 5000 | 27.77778 | 42.34725 | 1.764469 | 0 | 0 |  |
| New Zealand | Telecom | Explorer | 24576 |  | 10000 | 55.55556 | 61.67574 | 2.569823 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Vodafone | Ideal Pack | 24576 | 1000 | 10000 | 55.55556 | 48.39685 | 2.016536 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Vodafone | Ideal Naked | 24576 | 1000 | 10000 | 55.55556 | 48.39685 | 2.016536 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Telecom | Adventure | 24576 |  | 20000 | 111.1111 | 67.72535 | 2.82189 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | TelstraClear | LightSpeed 20G | 15360 | 1000 | 20000 | 177.7778 | 33.84755 | 2.256503 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Vodafone | Ultimate Pack | 24576 | 1000 | 30000 | 166.6667 | 67.72535 | 2.82189 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Vodafone | Ultimate Nacked | 24576 | 1000 | 30000 | 166.6667 | 51.42166 | 2.142569 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | Telecom | Pro | 24576 | 1000 | 40000 | 222.2222 | 79.82456 | 3.326023 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | TelstraClear | LightSpeed 40G | 15360 |  | 40000 | 355.5556 | 33.84755 | 2.256503 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | TelstraClear | LightSpeed 60G | 15360 | 2000 | 60000 | 533.3333 | 58.04598 | 3.869732 | 0 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | TelstraClear | LightSpeed 90G | 15360 | 2000 | 90000 | 800 | 84.66425 | 5.644283 | 1 | 1 |  |
| New Zealand | TelstraClear | WarpSpeed 120G | 25600 | 2000 | 120000 | 640 | 127.0115 | 5.08046 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Zon | Zon Net SD Net | 5120 | 256 | 10000 | 266.6667 | 42.12079 | 8.424157 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack ADSL Net Outras Zonas + Telefone | 1024 | 128 | 12000 | 1600 | 56.61517 | 56.61517 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack ADSL Net Outras Zonas + Telefone | 8124 | 512 | 50000 | 840.3086 | 71.9382 | 9.067543 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack Fibra Net + Telefone | 30720 | 1024 | 60000 | 266.6667 | 26.90602 | 1.121084 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack ADSL Net + Telefone Sem assinatura | 24576 | 3000 | 60000 | 333.3333 | 40.36575 | 1.345525 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack ADSL Net Outras Zonas + Telefone | 24576 | 1024 | 100000 | 555.5556 | 98.0618 | 4.085908 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack Fibra Net + Telefone | 102400 | 10000 | 200000 | 266.6667 | 53.82549 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Portugal | Clix | Pack Fibra Net + Telefone | 102400 | 10000 | 200000 | 266.6667 | 67.28523 | 0 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Optik 1 | 10240 | 512 | 2000 | 26.66667 | 14.5 | 1.45 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Mini | 2048 | 256 | 2000 | 133.3333 | 14.5 | 1.45 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Mini Solo + (faster upload) | 2048 | 256 | 2000 | 133.3333 | 19.33871 | 9.669355 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Mini | 2048 | 512 | 2000 | 133.3333 | 14.5 | 7.25 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Mini + (faster upload) | 2048 | 512 | 2000 | 133.3333 | 14.5 | 7.25 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Optik 2 | 20480 | 1000 | 120000 | 800 | 25.3871 | 1.269355 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 3 Solo | 3584 | 256 | 120000 | 4571.429 | 37.48387 | 10.70968 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 3 Solo + (faster upload) | 3584 | 512 | 120000 | 4571.429 | 37.48387 | 10.70968 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 3 | 3584 | 256 | 120000 | 4571.429 | 35.06452 | 10.01843 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo $3+$ (faster upload) | 3584 | 512 | 120000 | 4571.429 | 35.06452 | 10.01843 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Solo | 2048 | 256 | 120000 | 8000 | 27.80645 | 13.90323 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 Solo + (faster upload) | 2048 | 512 | 120000 | 8000 | 27.80645 | 13.90323 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 2 | 2048 | 256 | 120000 | 8000 | 25.3871 | 12.69355 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo $2+$ (faster upload) | 2048 | 512 | 120000 | 8000 | 25.3871 | 12.69355 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Optik 4 | 81920 | 2000 | 240000 | 400 | 45.95161 | 0.574395 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Optik 3 | 40960 | 4000 | 240000 | 800 | 36.27419 | 0.906855 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 4 Solo | 12288 | 512 | 240000 | 2666.667 | 48.37097 | 4.030914 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Slovak Republic | T-Com | Turbo 4 | 12288 | 512 | 240000 | 2666.667 | 45.95161 | 3.829301 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Spain | Telefonica | Movistar kit ADSL Mini | 1024 | 320 | 2000 | 266.6667 | 51.23923 | 51.23923 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Spain | Telefonica | Movistar kit ADSL 1 Mb | 1024 | 256 | 20000 | 2666.667 | 66.36744 | 66.36744 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Turksat/Uydunet | 10 Mbps'e kadar limitli | 10240 |  | 1000 | 13.33333 | 20.33133 | 2.033133 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Turksat/Uydunet | 5 Mbps'e kadar limitli | 5120 |  | 1000 | 26.66667 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Turksat/Uydunet | 1 Mbps'e kadar limitli | 1024 |  | 1000 | 133.3333 | 14.30723 | 14.30723 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps'e kadar limitli | 10240 | 1000 | 4000 | 53.33333 | 20.64445 | 2.064445 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 8 Mbps ' e kadar 4GB | 8192 | 1000 | 4000 | 66.66667 | 38.27466 | 4.784333 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Turk Telekom / TTNet | NET4 | 8192 | 1000 | 4000 | 66.66667 | 21.83735 | 2.729669 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Turk Telekom / TTNet | NET4 (Plus)* | 8192 | 1000 | 4000 | 66.66667 | 23.34337 | 2.917922 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 8 Mbps'e kadar 6GB | 8192 | 1000 | 6000 | 100 | 38.27466 | 4.784333 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Turk Telekom / TTNet | NET6 | 8192 | 1000 | 6000 | 100 | 29.36747 | 3.670934 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | NET6 | 20480 | 5000 | 8000 | 53.33333 | 27.54706 | 1.377353 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | NET6 | 50480 | 5000 | 12000 | 32.45642 | 41.35228 | 0.838842 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 8 Mbps Limitsiz | 8192 | 250 | 15000 | 250 | 84.77316 | 10.59664 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 8 Mbps'e kadar Limitsiz | 8192 | 512 | 15000 | 250 | 44.86352 | 5.60794 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Turk Telekom / TTNet | 8 Mbps'e kadar Limitsiz | 8192 | 1000 | 15000 | 250 | 36.89759 | 4.612199 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 4 Mbps Limitsiz | 4096 | 1000 | 15000 | 500 | 72.83509 | 18.20877 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 2 Mbps Limitsiz | 2048 | 1000 | 15000 | 1000 | 58.76318 | 29.38159 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 1024 | 1000 | 15000 | 2000 | 44.69785 | 44.69785 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 102400 | 5000 | 16000 | 21.33333 | 62.06012 | 0.620601 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 10240 | 1000 | 50000 | 666.6667 | 34.44967 | 3.444967 | 0 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 20480 | 5000 | 100000 | 666.6667 | 48.2549 | 2.412745 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 50480 | 5000 | 250000 | 676.1754 | 68.96273 | 1.398927 | 1 | 1 |  |
| Turkey | Superonline | 1 Mbps Limitsiz | 102400 | 5000 | 500000 | 666.6667 | 137.9888 | 1.379888 | 1 | 1 |  |
| United Kingdom | BT | Option 1 | 20480 |  | 10000 | 66.66667 | 38.14371 | 1.907186 | 0 | 1 |  |
| United Kingdom | BT | BT Infinity Option 1 | 40960 | 2000 | 40000 | 133.3333 | 44.13174 | 1.103293 | 0 | 1 |  |
| United Kingdom | BT | Option 2 | 20480 |  | 40000 | 266.6667 | 45.62874 | 2.281437 | 0 | 1 |  |
| United Kingdom | Sky | Sky Broadband Unlimited with Sky Talk | 20480 | 1300 | 40000 | 266.6667 | 31.43713 | 1.571856 | 0 | 1 |  |
| United Kingdom | Sky | Sky Broadband Unlimited without Sky Talk | 20480 | 1300 | 40000 | 266.6667 | 22.45509 | 1.122755 | 0 | 1 |  |

* information are added from telecom operators' websites

Source: OECD Communications Outlook 2011, OECD Broadband Statistics Sep., 2010, and Telecom Operators' Websites
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